

COMPLEX STRUCTURES AND THE ELIE CARTAN APPROACH TO THE THEORY OF SPINORS

Michel DUBOIS-VIOLETTE

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies
Bâtiment 211, Université Paris XI
91405 ORSAY Cedex, France
E-mail: FLAD@QCD.CIRCE.FR

Lecture given at the Second Max Born Symposium
“Spinors, Twistors and Clifford Algebras”
held in Wrocław, Poland, Sept. 24–27, 1992.

Abstract

Each isometric complex structure on a 2ℓ -dimensional euclidean space E corresponds to an identification of the Clifford algebra of E with the canonical anticommutation relation algebra for ℓ (fermionic) degrees of freedom. The simple spinors in the terminology of E. Cartan or the pure spinors in the one of C. Chevalley are the associated vacua. The corresponding states are the Fock states (i.e. pure free states), therefore, none of the above terminologies is very good.

1 Introduction

In this lecture, we will discuss complex structures and spinors on euclidean space. This is an extension of the algebraic part of a work [1] describing a sort of generalization of Penrose and Atiyah–Ward transformations in 2ℓ dimension. We shall not describe this work here, referring to [1], but concentrate the lecture upon the notion of simple spinor of E. Cartan [2] (or pure spinor in the terminology of C. Chevalley [3]). Many points of this lecture are well known facts and, in some sense, this may be considered as an introductory review. The notations used here are standard, let us just point out that by an euclidean space we mean a *real* vector space with a positive scalar product and by a Hilbert space we mean a *complex* Hilbert space.

2 Isometric Complex Structures

2.1 Notations

Let E be an oriented 2ℓ -dimensional euclidean space ($E \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2\ell}$) with a scalar product denoted by $(\bullet, \bullet)$. The dual space E^* of E is also, in a canonical way, an euclidean space and we again denote its scalar product by $(\bullet, \bullet)$. On the complexified space $E_c^* = E^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of E^* one may extend the scalar product of E^* in two different ways: Either one extends it by bilinearity and the corresponding bilinear form will again be denoted by $(\bullet, \bullet)$ or one extends it by sesquilinearity and the corresponding sesquilinear form will be denoted by $\langle \bullet | \bullet \rangle$. As for any complexified vector space, there is a canonical complex conjugation $\omega \mapsto \bar{\omega}$ on E^* , (an antilinear involution), and the connection between the two scalar products is given by:

$$\langle \omega_1 | \omega_2 \rangle = (\bar{\omega}_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in E_c^*.$$

2.2 Isometric Complex Structures or Hilbertian Structures

Let $\mathcal{H}(E)$ be the set of isometric complex structures on E or, which is the same, the set of orthogonal antisymmetric endomorphisms of E , i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}(E) = \{J \in \text{End}(E) | J \in O(E) \text{ and } J^2 = -\mathbb{1}\} =$$

$$= \{J \in \text{End}(E) | J \in O(E) \text{ and } (X, JY) = -(JX, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in E\}$$

Let $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ and define

$$(x + iy)V = xV + yJV, \quad \forall (x + iy) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \forall V \in E$$

and

$$\langle X|Y \rangle_J = (X, Y) - i(X, JY), \quad \forall X, Y \in E.$$

Equipped with the above structure, E is a ℓ -dimensional Hilbert space which we denote by E_J . For a basis $(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ of the complex vector space E_J , $(e_1, \dots, e_\ell, Je_1, \dots, Je_\ell)$ is a basis of E the orientation of which is independent of $(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ but only depends on J . Accordingly, $\mathcal{H}(E)$ splits in two pieces : $\mathcal{H}(E) = \mathcal{H}_+(E) \cup \mathcal{H}_-(E)$. The orthogonal group $O(E)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and the subgroup $SO(E)$ of orientation preserving orthogonal transformations acts transitively on $\mathcal{H}_+(E)$ and on $\mathcal{H}_-(E)$.

Thus one has $\mathcal{H}(E) \simeq O(E)/U(E_J)$ and $\mathcal{H}_+(E) \simeq SO(E)/U(E_J) \simeq \mathcal{H}_-(E)$ where $U(E_J)$ is the unitary group of E_J for a fixed $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ (i.e. $U(E_J) \simeq U(\mathbb{C}^\ell)$). We equip $\mathcal{H}(E)$, $\mathcal{H}_+(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_-(E)$ with the corresponding manifold structure. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(E) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_\pm(E) = \ell(2\ell-1) - \ell^2 = \ell(\ell-1)$.

2.3 Identification of Dual Spaces

The dual Hilbert space of E_J can be identified with the Hilbert subspace $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$ of E_c^* defined by

$$\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^* = \{\omega \in E_c^* | \omega \circ J = i\omega\}.$$

One verifies easily that $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$ is maximal isotropic in E_c^* for $(\bullet, \bullet)$ or, which is the same, that $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$ is orthogonal to its conjugate $\overline{\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*} = \Lambda^{0,1}E_J^*$ in E_c^* for $\langle \bullet | \bullet \rangle$ (i.e. E_c^* is the hilbertian direct sum $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^* \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}E_J^*$).

Conversely if $F \subset E_c^*$ is a maximal isotropic subspace for $(\bullet, \bullet)$, then there is a unique $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ such that $F = \Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}(E)$ identifies with a complex algebraic submanifold of the grassmannian $G_\ell(E_c^*)$ of ℓ -dimensional subspaces of E_c^* , ($G_\ell(E_c^*) \simeq G_{\ell, 2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$). In particular, $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}$ and its Kähler metric is given by $ds^2 = \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}((dP_J^{1,0})^2)$ where $P_J^{1,0}$ is the hermitian projector of E_c^* on $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$. Notice that one has $\overline{P_J^{1,0}} = P_J^{0,1} = \mathbb{1} - P_J^{1,0}$.

Furthermore $\Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$ is the fibre at $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ of a holomorphic hermitian

vector bundle of rank ℓ over $\mathcal{H}(E)$ which we denote by $\Lambda^{1,0}E^*$. Finally notice that one has the hilbertian sum identifications

$$\Lambda^k E_c^* = \bigoplus_{r+s=k} \Lambda^{r,s} E_J^*, \quad \forall J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$$

where $\Lambda^{r,s} E_J^* = \Lambda^r(\Lambda^{1,0} E_J^*) \otimes \Lambda^s(\overline{\Lambda^{1,0} E_J^*})$, (here the tensor product is over $\mathbb{C}$). We denote by $P_J^{r,s}$ the corresponding hermitian projectors.

2.4 Examples

One has $\mathcal{H}_+(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{I_+\}$, $\mathcal{H}_+(\mathbb{R}^4) = \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\mathcal{H}_+(\mathbb{R}^6) = \mathbb{C}P^3$ and, as will be shown below, $\mathcal{H}_+(\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^{2^{\ell-1}-1}$ but the inclusion is strict for $\ell \geq 4$ as it follows by comparison of the dimensions.

2.5 Hodge duality

On ΛE^* there is a linear involution, $*$, defined by $*(\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^p) = \omega^{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{2\ell}$ for any positively oriented orthonormal basis $(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^{2\ell})$. One extends this involution by linearity to ΛE_c^* . One has the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let Ω be an element of $\Lambda^\ell E_c^*$. Then one has $\Omega + i^\ell * \Omega = 0$, (resp. $\Omega - i^\ell * \Omega = 0$), if and only if $P_J^{0,\ell} \Omega = 0$, $\forall J \in \mathcal{H}_+(E)$, (resp. $\forall J \in \mathcal{H}_-(E)$).*

For $\ell = 2$ (i.e. in dimension 4), this is the basic algebraic lemma for the Penrose–Atiyah–Ward transformation.

3 The Clifford algebra as C.A.R. algebra

3.1 Definition

We define the Clifford algebra $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ to be the complex associative $*$ -algebra with a unit $\mathbb{1}$ generated by the following relations

$$[\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)]_+ = 2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mathbb{1} \text{ and } \gamma(\omega)^* = \gamma(\omega) \text{ for } \omega, \omega_i \in E^*.$$

The $\gamma(\omega), \omega \in E^*$, are hermitian generators and $\gamma : E^* \rightarrow \text{Cliff}(E^*)$ is an injective $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping. One extends γ as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping, $\gamma : E_c^* \rightarrow \text{Cliff}(E^*)$, by setting $\gamma(\bar{\omega}) = \gamma(\omega)^*$.

3.2 Complex structures and the C.A.R. algebra

Let $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ be given. The algebra $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ is generated by the $\gamma(\omega)$ with $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$ and their adjoints $\gamma(\omega)^* = \gamma(\bar{\omega})$. In terms of these generators the relations read

$$[\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)]_+ = 0 \text{ and } [\gamma(\omega_1)^*, \gamma(\omega_2)]_+ = \langle \omega_1 | \omega_2 \rangle \mathbb{1}, \quad \forall \omega_i \in \Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*.$$

These are the defining relations of the algebra of canonical anticommutation relations (C.A.R. algebra) for ℓ (fermionic) degrees of freedom. Thus each $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ corresponds to an identification of the Clifford algebra with the C.A.R. algebra. Furthermore, the action of the orthogonal group $O(E)$ on $\mathcal{H}(E)$ corresponds to the Bogoliubov transformations. One has, as well known, $\text{Cliff}(E^*) \simeq M_{2^\ell}(\mathbb{C})$.

4 Spinors and Complex Structures

4.1 Definition

We define a space of spinors associated to E to be a Hilbert space S carrying an irreducible $*$ -representation of $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$. The spinors are the elements of S . Since $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ is isomorphic to $M_{2^\ell}(\mathbb{C})$, S is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2^\ell}$ and the representation is an isomorphism. We shall identify $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ with the image of this representation.

4.2 The simple spinors of E. Cartan

Let $\psi \in S$ with $\psi \neq 0$ and set $I_\psi = \{\omega \in E_c^* | \gamma(\omega)\psi = 0\}$. If ω_1 and ω_2 are in I_ψ , one has $[\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)]_+\psi = 2(\omega_1, \omega_2)\psi = 0$, so I_ψ is an isotropic subspace of E_c^* for $(\bullet, \bullet)$.

If I_ψ is maximal isotropic, i.e. if $\dim(I_\psi) = \ell$, then ψ is called a *simple spinor* by E. Cartan [2] or a *pure spinor* by C. Chevalley [3]. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of these spinors and by $P(\mathcal{F})$ the corresponding algebraic submanifold of $P(S) = \mathbb{C}P^{2^\ell-1}$, (i.e. $P(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of directions of simple spinors).

For $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$, $I_\psi = I_{\lambda\psi} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, so the maximal isotropic subspace I_ψ of E_c^* does only depend on the direction $[\psi] \in P(\mathcal{F})$ of ψ . On the other hand we know that there is a unique $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ such that $I_\psi = \Lambda^{1,0}E_J^*$. It follows

that one has a mapping of $P(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{H}(E)$ which is in fact an isomorphism of complex manifolds. In the following, we shall identify these manifolds, writing $P(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(E)$.

4.3 The natural line bundle

The restriction to $P(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(E)$ of the tautological bundle of $P(S)$ is a holomorphic hermitian vector bundle of rank one, L , over $\mathcal{H}(E)$. One has $L = \mathcal{F} \cup \{\text{the zero section}\}$.

As holomorphic hermitian line bundles over $\mathcal{H}(E)$, one has the following isomorphisms, see in [1] : $\Lambda^{\ell,0} E^* \simeq L \otimes L$ and $\Lambda^{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}} T^* \mathcal{H}(E) = L^{\otimes 2(\ell-1)}$.

4.4 Semi-spinors and simple spinors

To the action of $SO(E)$ on E^* corresponds a linear representation of its covering $\text{Spin}(E)$ in S . Under this representation, S splits into two irreducible components $S = S_+ \oplus S_-$ with $\dim S_+ = \dim S_- = 2^{\ell-1}$. The elements of S_+ and S_- are called semi-spinors. On the other hand $P(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(E)$ splits into two transitive parts under the action of $SO(E)$, $\mathcal{H}(E) = \mathcal{H}_+(E) \cup \mathcal{H}_-(E)$. It follows that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_+ \cup \mathcal{F}_-$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\pm} = \mathcal{F} \cap S_{\pm}$ and (with an eventual relabelling in the $\pm$) $P(\mathcal{F}_{\pm}) = \mathcal{H}_{\pm}(E)$. In other words $\mathcal{F}$ consists of semi-spinors. It turns out that for $\ell \leq 3$ all non vanishing semi-spinors are in $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{F}_{\pm} = S_{\pm} \setminus \{0\}$) but for $\ell \geq 4$ the inclusions $\mathcal{F}_{\pm} \subset S_{\pm} \setminus \{0\}$ are strict inclusions. For $\ell \geq 4$ $\mathcal{H}_+(E)$ is no more a projective space.

5 Fock States and Simple Spinors

5.1 States on algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative complex $*$ -algebra with a unit $\mathbb{1}$. We recall that a state on $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear form ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(X^*X) \geq 0$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi(\mathbb{1}) = 1$. The set of all states on $\mathcal{A}$ is a convex subset of the dual space $\mathcal{A}^*$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The extreme points of this convex subset (i.e. which are not convex combinations of two distinct states) are called pure states. To the states on $\mathcal{A}$ correspond cyclic $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{A}$ in Hilbert space via the G.N.S. construction; pure states correspond then to irreducible representations.

Coming back to the case $\mathcal{A} = \text{Cliff}(E^*)$, we see that to each spinor $\psi \neq 0$ corresponds a state $X \mapsto \frac{\langle \psi | X \psi \rangle}{\|\psi\|^2}$ (its direction) which is a pure state leading to an irreducible, or simple, representation. This is why the terminology of C. Chevalley or E. Cartan to denote the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ is somehow misleading. What characterizes the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ is that the corresponding states (i.e. elements of $P(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(E)$) are Fock states or free states on $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ (see below); thus the name Fock spinors or free spinors would be better.

5.2 Fock states on the Clifford algebra

First of all it is clear from above that the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are all possible vacua corresponding to the identifications of $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ with the C.A.R. algebra. It is well known that given a vacuum, the vacuum expectation values factorize and only depend on the “two-point functions” i.e. on the vacuum expectation values of $\gamma(\omega_1)\gamma(\omega_2)$ for $\omega_i \in E^*$, (this is the very property of the free states). More precisely, a *Fock state*, (see for instance [4]), on $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ is a pure state ϕ satisfying the following (Q.F.) property:

$$(Q.F.) \begin{cases} \phi(\gamma(\omega_1) \dots \gamma(\omega_{2n+1})) = 0 \\ \phi(\gamma(\omega_1) \dots \gamma(\omega_{2n})) = \sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^k \phi(\gamma(\omega_1) \gamma(\omega_k)) \cdot \overset{k}{\phi}(\gamma(\omega_2) \dots \gamma(\omega_{2n})) \end{cases}$$

for $\omega_i \in E^*$, (where $\overset{k}{\phi}$ means omission of the k^{th} term). From (Q.F.) one sees that ϕ is determined by the $\phi(\gamma(\omega_1)\gamma(\omega_2)) = h(\omega_1, \omega_2) + i\sigma(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, $\omega_i \in E^*$, where h and σ are real bilinear forms. The defining relations of $\text{Cliff}(E^*)$ implice that $h(\omega_1, \omega_2) + h(\omega_2, \omega_1) = 2(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\sigma(\omega_1, \omega_2) + \sigma(\omega_2, \omega_1) = 0$. The positivity of ϕ is equivalent to $\phi(\gamma(\omega_1 + i\omega_2)\gamma(\omega_1 - i\omega_2)) \geq 0$ which is equivalent to $h(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $\sigma(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (A\omega_1, \omega_2) = -(\omega_1, A\omega_2)$ with $\|A\| \leq 1$. By polar decomposition, $A = J|A|$ with $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ and $|A| \geq 0$ ($\| |A| \| \geq 1$). Then, ϕ is pure if and only if $|A| = 1$. Therefore, ϕ is a Fock state iff. it satisfies (Q.F.) and $\phi(\gamma(\omega_1)\gamma(\omega_2)) = (\omega_1, \omega_2) + i(J\omega_1, \omega_2)$, $\forall \omega_i \in E^*$, with $J \in \mathcal{H}(E)$. Thus, the Fock states are parametrized by $\mathcal{H}(E) = P(\mathcal{F})$ and, in fact, the set of Fock states is $P(\mathcal{F})$; indeed if $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that $I_\psi = \Lambda^{1,0} E_J^*$ then one has

$$\frac{\langle \psi | \gamma(\omega_1)\gamma(\omega_2)\psi \rangle}{\|\psi\|^2} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) + i(J\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_i \in E^*$$

and (Q.F.) is satisfied.

6 Spinors and Fock Space Constructions

The standard construction of the Fock space for the C.A.R. algebra implies that, for each J , S is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_n \Lambda^{0,n} E_J^*.$$

However, there is the vacuum, namely an element of L_J , which is hidden here.

In fact, one has an isomorphism Φ of hermitian vector bundles over $\mathcal{H}(E)$ from

$$\bigoplus_n \Lambda^{0,n} E^* \otimes L$$

onto the trivial bundle with fibre equal to S , such that

$$\Phi(\omega \wedge \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma(\omega) \Phi(\varphi), \quad \forall \omega \in \Lambda^{0,1} E_J^* \text{ and } \forall \varphi \in \bigoplus_n \Lambda^{0,n} E_J^* \otimes L_J.$$

More precisely one has the following

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Phi_J : \bigoplus_p \Lambda^{0,2p} E_J^* \otimes L_J &\simeq S_+ & (\text{resp. } S_-) \\ \Phi_J : \bigoplus_p \Lambda^{0,2p+1} E_J^* \otimes L_J &\simeq S_- & (\text{resp. } S_+) \end{aligned} \right\} \forall J \in \mathcal{H}_+(E) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{H}_-(E))$$

which gives the identification of semi-spinors.

7 Bundles of Complex Structures

Let M be a 2ℓ -dimensional oriented riemannian manifold. The tangent space $T_x(M)$ at $x \in M$ is an oriented 2ℓ -dimensional euclidean space so one can consider the complex manifold $\mathcal{H}(T_x(M))$ as above. $\mathcal{H}(T_x(M))$ is the fiber at $x \in M$ of a bundle $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ on M which we call the *bundle of isometric complex structures* over M . This bundle is associated to the orthonormal frame bundle so there is a natural connection on it coming from the Levi-Civita connection of M . On $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$, there is a *natural almost complex structure* defined by the following construction. Let $J_x \in \mathcal{H}(T_x(M))$, then by horizontal lift, J_x defines a complex structure on the tangent horizontal subspace at J_x ; on the other hand the tangent vertical subspace at J_x is the tangent space to the complex manifold $\mathcal{H}(T_x(M))$ so it is naturally a complex vector space, so by taking the direct sum one has a complex structure

on the tangent space to $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ at J_x and finally, $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ becomes an almost complex manifold. It is easy to show that the almost complex manifold $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ only depends on the conformal structure of M . In particular, the almost complex structure of $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ is integrable, i.e. $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ is a complex manifold, whenever M is conformally flat. The Penrose and the Atiyah-Ward transformations are obtained, in the four-dimensional case, by lifting to $\mathcal{H}(T(M))$ various objects living on M (see in [1]).

Let us end this lecture by noticing that the complex manifold $\mathcal{H}(T(S^{2\ell}))$ identifies with the complex manifold $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{2\ell+2})$ of isometric complex structures on the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2\ell+2}$, [1]. So, in particular, by restriction to the positively oriented complex structures one has $\mathcal{H}_+(T(S^4)) = \mathcal{H}_+(\mathbb{R}^6) = \mathbb{C}P^3$.

References

1. DUBOIS-VIOLETTE M. : 1980, “Structures complexes au-dessus des variétés, applications”, Séminaire math. E.N.S. in “Mathématique et Physique”, L. Boutet de Montvel, A. Douady and J.L. Verdier, eds. Progress in Mathematics **vol. 37**, 1–42.
2. CARTAN, E. : 1938, Leçons sur la théorie des spineurs I,II, Hermann et Cie.
3. CHEVALLEY, C. : 1954, “The algebraic theory of spinors”, Columbia University Press, Morningside Heights, New York.
4. MANUCEAU, J. : 1970, “Etude algébrique des états quasi-libres; A. Etats quasi-libres des fermions”. In *Cargèse lectures in Physics* **vol. 4**, D. Kastler ed., Gordon and Breach.